

Referee's report: *How Physics Could Explain the Mind*

This is an interesting manuscript. It is fanciful in its comparison of higher-dimensional spaces with two defined states of mind, but why not? Nobody knows the role of higher dimensions. Although most particle physicists are now taking them seriously.

I especially like Drageset's suggestion that human thought in his second, "still" three-dimensional space, could be like a quantum wavefunction before collapse. Nobody knows what a wavefunction is either, but after years of using and teaching quantum mechanics, I find myself telling students that quantum wave functions are more like ideas, not yet expressed in physical reality.

Drageset's willingness to revise "mind emerges from matter" to "matter emerges from mind" is also consistent with the wavefunctions being primary, not matter. This is a truly fundamental concept.

So in my opinion there are enough original ideas in this paper to warrant publication.